

<p>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Application No. 10/796,298</td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) MITSUHASHI, MASATO</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner FRANK W. LU</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 1634</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/796,298	Applicant(s) MITSUHASHI, MASATO	Examiner FRANK W. LU	Art Unit 1634
Application No. 10/796,298	Applicant(s) MITSUHASHI, MASATO				
Examiner FRANK W. LU	Art Unit 1634				

All Participants:

(1) FRANK W. LU

(2) Mr. Daniel Altman (Reg. No. 34,115)

Date of Interview: 29 January 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: Applicant agreed with the examiner's amendments.

Status of Application: After filing RCE

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 6 pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
73, 75, 80, 81, 83, 88-92, 215, 217-237, and 240

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Altman agreed with the examiner's amendments.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Frank W Lu /
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)